

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

Evaluating antibiotic stewardship and healthcare-associated infections surveillance assisted by computer: protocol for an interrupted time series study

Journal:	BMJ Open	
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-056125	
Article Type:	Protocol	
Date Submitted by the Author:		
Complete List of Authors:	Baudet, Alexandre; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Service d'odontologie AGRINIER, Nelly; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, CIC, Epidémiologie Clinique CHARMILLON, Alexandre; CHRU de Nancy, Service des maladies infectieuses Pulcini, Céline; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Service des maladies infectieuses LOZNIEWSKI, Alain; CHRU de Nancy, Service de microbiologie; Université de Lorraine, Stress Immunity Pathogens unit (SIMPA) EA 7300 AISSA, Nejla; CHRU de Nancy, Service de microbiologie LIZON, Julie; CHRU de Nancy, Département territorial d'hygiène et prévention du risque infectieux THILLY, Nathalie; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Département Méthodologie, Promotion, Investigation DEMORÉ, Béatrice; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Pharmacie FLORENTIN, Arnaud; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Département territorial d'hygiène et prévention du risque infectieux	
Keywords:	Protocols & guidelines < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Infection control < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, PUBLIC HEALTH, CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY	

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Evaluating antibiotic stewardship and healthcare-associated infections surveillance assisted by computer: protocol for an interrupted time series study

Alexandre BAUDET^{1,2*}, Nelly AGRINIER^{1,3}, Alexandre CHARMILLON⁴, Céline PULCINI^{1,4}, Alain LOZNIEWSKI^{5,6}, Nejla AISSA⁵, Julie LIZON⁷, Nathalie THILLY^{1,8}, Béatrice DEMORÉ^{1,9}, Arnaud FLORENTIN^{1,7}

Author affiliations

- ¹ Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ² Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Service d'odontologie, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ³ CHRU-Nancy, INSERM, Université de Lorraine, CIC, Epidémiologie Clinique, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁴ Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Service des maladies infectieuses, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁵ CHRU-Nancy, Service de microbiologie, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁶ Université de Lorraine, Stress Immunity Pathogens unit (SIMPA) EA 7300, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁷ Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Département territorial d'hygiène et prévention du risque infectieux, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁸ Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Département Méthodologie, Promotion, Investigation, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁹ Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Pharmacie, F-54000 Nancy, France

Correspondence to

Dr Alexandre Baudet

Faculté d'Odontologie de Lorraine. 7 avenue de la forêt de Haye BP 20199 - 54505 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France

alexandre.baudet@univ-lorraine.fr

Keywords

antibiotic stewardship; healthcare-associated infection; clinical decision support; interrupted time series; protocol; infection prevention and control; antibiotic resistance

Words count: 3300 words

ABSTRACT

Introduction Antibiotic resistance is one of the most pressing health threats that mankind faces now and in the coming decades. Antibiotic resistance leads to longer hospital stays, higher medical costs and increased mortality. In order to tackle antibiotic resistance, we will implement in our tertiary care university hospital a computerized-decision support system (CDSS) facilitating antibiotic stewardship and an electronic surveillance software (ESS) facilitating infection prevention and control activities. We describe the protocol to evaluate the impact of the CDSS/ESS combination in adult inpatients.

Methods and analysis We conduct a pragmatic, prospective, single-centre, before-after uncontrolled study with an interrupted time-series analysis 12 months before and 12 months after the introduction of the CDSS for antibiotic stewardship (APSS) and ESS for infection surveillance (ZINC). APSS and ZINC will assist respectively the antibiotic stewardship and the infection prevention and control teams of Nancy University Hospital (France). We will evaluate the impact of the CDSS/ESS on the antibiotic use in adult (≥ 18 years) inpatients (hospitalised ≥ 48 h). The primary outcome is the prescription rate by all healthcare professionals from the hospital of all systemic antibiotics expressed in defined daily doses/1 000 patients/month. Concurrently, we will assess the safety of the intervention, its impact on the appropriateness of antibiotic prescriptions and on additional precautions (isolation precautions) as recommended in guidelines, and on bacterial epidemiology (multidrug-resistant bacteria and *Clostridioides difficile* infections) in the hospital. Finally, we will evaluate the users' satisfaction and the cost of this intervention from the hospital perspective.

Ethics and dissemination The protocol has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Nancy University Hospital and registered on the ClinicalTrials platform (NCT04976829). Results will be disseminated through conferences' presentations and publications in peer-reviewed journals.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A large dataset with monthly data over a 12-month before and 12-month after period will provide a precise estimation of the effects of the intervention.
- A multidisciplinary collaboration approach with infectious disease physicians, microbiologists, pharmacists, infection prevention and control practitioners and computer scientists – will be reinforced throughout the intervention.
- This would be a first of its kind evaluation of antibiotic stewardship and healthcare-associated infection computerized-decision support system in France, evaluating its effectiveness, safety, acceptability and costs.
- The study is limited to only one hospital in France and there is no control group in the interrupted time series quasi-experimental analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Background and rationale

Antibiotics are drugs that can prevent and treat bacterial infections. Unfortunately, in response to their use — and accelerated by their misuse — bacteria adapt by developing antibiotic resistance. Without urgent action to change the way healthcare professionals prescribe antibiotics, common infections and minor injuries could once again kill. If we do not find proactive solutions now to slow down the rise of antimicrobial resistance, the impact of drug-resistant infections would lead to 10 million people dying every year by 2050 and would cost up to 100 trillion USD across the world (1).

Several studies have estimated the morbidity and mortality of infections due to the most common multidrug-resistant bacteria (MDRB) from the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net) data. In Europe, burden assessments of infections caused in humans by eight MDRB revealed the increase of antibiotic resistance over the last years. Between 2007 and 2015, the estimated attributable yearly deaths to these infections increased from 25 000 to 33 110 (2,3). For example, percentage of Escherichia coli from invasive isolates with resistance to third-generation cephalosporins increased significatively from 14.6% in 2015 to 15.1% in 2018, and 58.3% of E. coli isolated in 2018 were resistant to at least one of the antibiotic groups (4). In France, the number of infections and deaths due to MDRB was estimated at respectively 124 806 and 5 543 in 2015 (3). Antibiotic resistance has also increased for other bacteria than E. coli. For example, percentage of Klebsiella pneumoniae from invasive isolates with resistance to third-generation cephalosporins increased from 4.1% to 28.8% between 2005 and 2017 (5). The development of antibiotic resistance is correlated with antibiotic use, so it is crucial that antibiotics are only used when indicated and that the most appropriate antibiotic regimen is used (6). In 2018, France had the fourth-highest human consumption of antibacterials in Europe: 25.3 defined daily doses (DDD) per 1 000 inhabitants per day were consumed in the community and hospital sector (7).

The Antimicrobial Resistance global action plan of WHO has five strategic objectives including the strengthening of surveillance and research, the reduction of infection incidence through hygiene and infection prevention and control (IPC) measures, and the optimisation of the use of antimicrobial medicines (8). Antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) programmes are defined as "a coherent set of actions which promote using antimicrobials responsibly". They are encouraged to improve and measure the appropriate use of antibiotics by promoting the selection of the optimal drug regimen including dosing, duration of therapy and routes of administration (9). In the hospital setting, the emergence of electronic medical records and other electronic patient data has facilitated the use of computerised decision support systems (CDSS) for AMS (10) and electronic surveillance software (ESS) for healthcareassociated infections (HCAI) surveillance (11). CDSS targeting prescribers are recommended (12): they appear beneficial for improving the appropriateness of prescriptions and for reducing the average hospital length of stay and the antimicrobial spending (10,13). Implementation of IPC measures is also essential, and has been associated with a decrease in MDRB (14). ESS maximizes the effectiveness of IPC activities (15) and reduces the amount of time that IPC teams spend on surveillance activities (16). The implementation, in 2021, of a CDSS supporting AMS with an ESS supporting HCAI surveillance (CDSS/ESS) in our hospital is an opportunity to evaluate the CDSS/ESS impact. On the one hand, several studies had evaluated the effectiveness of CDSS in decision-making of physicians on the prescription of antibiotics (17). On the other hand, the studies evaluating ESS were mostly either retrospective and prospective cohorts (16). But, to the best of our knowledge, no study evaluated simultaneously the

BMJ Open

CDSS/ESS effectiveness, safety, acceptability and impact on costs using a quasi-experimental design study. Quasi-experimental studies are recommended to evaluate AMS interventions (18,19).

Objectives

The aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of the CDSS/ESS on the overall use of antibiotics (Defined Daily Doses (DDDs)/1 000 patients/month) prescribed to adult inpatients.

The secondary objectives are to assess: (1) the safety of the CDSS/ESS use on (i) all-cause intra-hospital mortality, (ii) length of stay and (iii) the incidence of certain HCAI; (2) the impact of the CDSS/ESS on (i) the use of specific antibiotics and therapeutic classes with potential for high selective pressure, (ii) the appropriateness of prescriptions of antibiotics and of additional precautions as recommended in guidelines and (iii) the bacterial epidemiology (MDRB and *Clostridioides difficile* infections); (3) the costs associated with the implementation and the use of the CDSS/ESS, as well as cost savings due to potential antibiotic use decrease and length of stay reduction, from the hospital perspective; and (4) the users' satisfaction and acceptability of the CDSS/ESS.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design

This quasi-experimental study is a pragmatic, single center, interventional, before-after uncontrolled study using interrupted time series (ITS) analyses. The study will cover a retrospective period of 12 months before and a prospective period of 12 months after the CDSS/ESS implementation.

Setting and Participants

Nancy University Hospital (France) is a 1497-bed tertiary-care hospital including two main geographical sites. In 2019, it counted 9 600 employees (including 1 514 full-time equivalents (FTE) for healthcare professionals and interns) and 162 624 hospital stays (20). An AMS team is present including infectious disease physicians (1.2 FTE), clinical pharmacists (1.2 FTE) and clinical microbiologists (0.1 FTE) – as well as an IPC team including IPC physicians and pharmacists (2.0 FTE), and nurses (4.5 FTE). The University Hospital of Nancy meets all the 7 Core Elements of Hospital Antibiotic Stewardship Programs developed by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (21) and meets the essential healthcare facility core elements for AMS programmes developed by the WHO (22). It owns an advanced IPC level according to the IPC assessment framework (23) which support the WHO guidelines on core components of IPC programmes (24). In 2017, the point prevalence on one single day of patients treated with antibiotics was 24.4% in the University Hospital of Nancy vs 21.5% [18.9-24.4] in comparable French University Hospitals. In the same nationwide point-prevalence survey, the prevalence of HCAI was 7.8% in the University Hospital of Nancy vs 7.0% [6.0-7.9] in comparable French University Hospitals (25). Total antibiotic consumption was 591 DDD/1 000 hospital days in 2017 in the French University Hospital of Nancy and the median consumption was 518 DDD/1 000 patients in comparable French University Hospitals (26).

The study includes the hospital departments of medicine (intensive care, cardiology, hepato-gastro-enterology, haematology, pneumology, infectious diseases, neurology, ...), surgery, long-term care, and rehabilitation care. The paediatric and gynaeco-obstetric activities are excluded.

To assess the impact on antibiotic use and intrahospital mortality, length of stay, incidence of HCAI, all inpatients \geq 18 years and hospitalised \geq 48h in Nancy University Hospital will be considered in the study. To assess the impact of the CDSS/ESS on the appropriateness of antibiotic prescriptions and of additional IPC precautions, inpatients will be randomly selected from the study adult population

receiving respectively antibiotic and additional precautions prescriptions before and after the CDSS/ESS implementation. To assess the impact of the CDSS/ESS on bacterial epidemiology, patients will be randomly selected from identification of bacterial isolates in the study population. To assess the hospital costs due to antibiotic use, all the study adult population treated by antibiotics will be considered. Finally, to assess the users' satisfaction and acceptability, AMS and IPC teams will be interviewed.

Study Organization and Patient Involvement

This study is promoted and coordinated by the University Hospital of Nancy. A scientific committee is in charge of supervising all scientific aspects and organisational issues occurring during the study period. This committee is multidisciplinary, including one pharmacist (BD), two infectious diseases specialist (AC, CP), two clinical microbiologists (AL, NAi), two IPC practitioners (one MD (AF) and one PharmD (JL)) and two epidemiologists and public health specialists (NA, NT). This evaluation is coordinated by both a PhD student (AB) and a project manager. The committee will meet regularly: at least one meeting before the study starts to define the protocol, at least two meetings during the study period to discuss potential organisational issues and at least one meeting after the end of the study period to present and discuss the results.

Patients were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

Intervention

The intervention consists of the implementation of a CDSS supporting AMS and an ESS supporting HCAI surveillance, in 2021, to help respectively AMS and IPC teams. These teams will continue their usual practices but with the assistance of the CDSS/ESS. All inpatients will potentially benefit from the intervention, there is no random allocation nor control group.

AMS Team Activities and Implementation of the CDSS supporting AMS

The AMS team aims at reducing inappropriate and unnecessary antibiotic prescribing. The AMS programme in the University Hospital of Nancy was described in 2011 by Bevilacqua *et al.* (27) and evaluated in two studies (28,29). Currently, the AMS team reviews antibiotic prescriptions without a specific software: hospital pharmacists analyse all electronic antibiotic prescriptions one by one using the electronic patient's medical record. Given the number of patients hospitalised each day, this prescription analysis is time-consuming for pharmacists, and only a limited number of antibiotic prescriptions are analysed at D0, D3 and D7 of therapy with an infectious disease physician (mainly last-line and broad-spectrum antibiotics) (30)). Since 2017, two infectious disease physicians of the AMS team conduct regularly (at least weekly) ward rounds in several targeted units in the hospital; they also call physicians managing the patients to discuss difficult cases with them and advise on a therapeutic strategy and regular follow-up. The microbiologists send in real time e-mails to the infectious disease physicians of the AMS team to inform them of positive bacterial blood cultures. Infectious disease physicians also meet daily microbiologists in the microbiological department – except during the weekends – to discuss bacterial identification and antibiotic susceptibility tests of positive blood cultures and other relevant samples.

The implementation of the CDSS supporting AMS – named APSS (Lumed®, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada) – will help the AMS team in its daily activities. APSS is an antimicrobial prescription system which monitors the relevant clinical information of inpatients to facilitate the evaluation of the

BMJ Open

appropriateness of antibiotic prescriptions by AMS team members (age, gender, respiratory rate, temperature, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressures, cardiac frequency, absolute neutrophil count and white blood cell count as indicators of immune system status, creatinine clearance as an indicator of renal function, ...) and to assist them in the post prescription review process. As new information becomes available, it automatically checks in real time if the ongoing treatment seems appropriate or not according to predefined rules in its knowledge base based on the local "Antibioquide" guidelines (31). The knowledge base contains rules of contraindications related to drug-drug interactions, drug-bug or drug-laboratory mismatches, cheaper alternatives, maximum daily dose, maximum and minimum dose and frequency, maximum duration, and route of administration. APSS generate automatic alerts sent to the AMS team when a prescription seems inappropriate. The approach used by APSS to review antimicrobial prescriptions was previously described by Beaudoin et al. (32). The AMS team will screen these alerts daily (08:30 a.m. to 06:30 p.m.) – except during the weekends, where the alerts will be treated the next Monday – and will accept or refuse the alerts based on clinical relevance, after a review of the electronic medical record if needed. If the alert is found to be relevant, the AMS team will contact prescribers to discuss their prescriptions and advise them on potential improvements. Prescribers will remain free to change their prescriptions or not.

IPC Team Activities and Implementation of the ESS supporting HCAI

The IPC team is a team including mainly hygiene-specialised physicians, pharmacists and nurses which aim at improving the standard and additional IPC precautions, and to reduce the HCAI rate, including MDRB infections. Currently, the IPC team achieves its mission without a specific software by receiving e-mail alerts concerning MDRB and epidemic microorganisms identified by the microbiological department. Then, the IPC team checks the electronic medical record of these patients and looks for contact patients (i.e. patients managed in the same hospital ward and during the same period of time as the infected patient) using a software developed by the IPC team. The IPC team searches for the location of each contact patient – one-by-one – by reviewing their electronic medical records. Finally, the IPC team informs healthcare professionals managing the patients to discuss the implementation of hygiene precautions and screening tests.

The ESS supporting HCAI surveillance – named ZINC (Lumed®, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada) – will be used by the IPC team. ZINC is an ESS which monitors the microbiological information of inpatients and facilitates the surveillance of HCAI and diseases with epidemic potential (all MDRB including extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria, and other bacteria and viruses with epidemic potential like *C. difficile* and measles for example). All relevant clinical and microbiological electronic hospital data are automatically sent to ZINC. ZINC allows for real-time identification and follow-up of patients suspect of having a HCAI. Moreover, it easily identifies the list of contact patients based on a definition of contact time with the infected patient. Finally, it recognises all MDRB from the results of the antibiotic susceptibility testing results (33). Alerts will be generated by ZINC for all identifications of microorganisms requiring additional precautions. The IPC team will screen the alerts daily (08:30 a.m. to 06:30 p.m.) – except during the weekends, where the alerts will be treated the next Monday. The IPC team will contact the physician in charge of positive or contact patients to inform him/her and double-check the implementation of hygiene precautions and/or the screening tests according to French guidelines (34–36).

Outcomes Measures, Data Sources

The primary outcome is the prescription rate by all healthcare professionals from the Nancy University Hospital of all systemic antibiotics (J01 code according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical – ATC – 2017 classification) expressed in DDDs/1 000 patients/month, calculated monthly during the 12-month before and 12-month after period. These data are routinely collected through the hospital pharmacy database.

The secondary outcomes – presented in Table 1 – will be collected monthly during the whole 24-month study period, excepted the proportion of prescriptions compliant with guidelines (evaluated twice during the study period), the users' satisfaction and the costs of the implementation of the CDSS/ESS which will be evaluated respectively after 6 and 12 months of CDSS/ESS implementation.

Confounding factors, such as other antibiotic stewardship or IPC interventions during the study period will be collected and taken into account in the statistical analysis. Changes in the configuration of the CDSS/ESS, their reasons and dates of occurrence will also be collected.

The number of hospitalization episodes and of inpatients receiving antibiotics will be collected – with inpatients' gender and age – to describe the studied population. To describe the AMS team activity, the number of reviewed antibiotic prescriptions, notably concerning last-line and broad-spectrum antibiotics will be collected. The number of recommendations made by AMS and IPC teams following an APSS or ZINC alert will be reported, as well as the type of AMS's intervention (dosing adjustment, switch from intravenous to oral therapy, immediate discontinuation of treatment, planned end of treatment, adjustment based on antimicrobial serum levels or adjustment according to microbiology results for example).

Statistical analysis

Power and sample size calculations

Based on the study conducted by Nault *et al.* (13), we estimate that the effect size (expected intervention effect over its standard error) of the CDSS supporting AMS intervention on our primary outcome will be ≥ 2 for both level and trend changes. According to the simulation-based power calculation for designing ITS of Zhang *et al.* (37) – with both level and trend changes assuming effect size ≥ 2 , equal pre- and post-intervention time periods and statistical significance level = 0.05 - a 24-time periods study is sufficient to obtain a power > 0.9. The time period of one month is currently used in ITS (38). A 12-month before and 12-month after intervention period allows the adequate evaluation of seasonal variations (39).

Due to potential Covid-19 impact on several outcomes, to increase power study and to assess long-term sustainability, an extended study which will cover a period of 24 months before and after the CDSS/ESS implementation will be performed at a later stage.

Descriptive analysis

Inpatient characteristics, prescriptions, and other descriptive data will be described as numbers, percentages and confidence intervals (CI) for categorical variables, and as means and standard deviations (SD) or as medians and interquartile ranges – depending on the distribution – for continuous variables.

Qualitative analysis

The interviews will be fully transcribed. Then an analysis of the data will be performed using an inductive method derived from the grounded theory (a qualitative research method focused on the identification of concepts that emerge from study interviews or observation (40)). NVivo® software

(QSR International, Melbourne, Australia) will be used to facilitate the coding process of the transcripts.

Interrupted time series analysis

Assessment of the CDSS/ESS impact will be realized with ITS analysis. ITS analysis is the best approach for quasi-experimental studies assessing longitudinal effects of interventions introduced at a specific time point where a randomized trial is infeasible or unethical (39,41,42). Before-after quasi-experimental studies using ITS analysis is the most common study design used for evaluation of antibiotic stewardship interventions for hospital inpatients (43). ITS analysis is also recommended to evaluate the effects of IPC interventions according to EPOC and ORION guidelines (44,45). In this study, we comply with ITS guidance (38,46) and recommendations to evaluate the impact of AMS interventions with two limits: the study is limited to a single centre and there is no control group (18). This study will not include a control group for practical and ethical reasons. Indeed, the implementation of the CDSS will need a change in practice to support routine work of the AMS team who will not continue its current way of operation in a control group for efficiency reasons. Moreover, the implementation of the ESS will include all hospital wards for ethical reasons because it should allow a better prevention and management of the HCAI which can result in serious illness, prolong hospital stay, drain healthcare resources and may result in loss of life.

The segmented regression analysis will be used to evaluate the longitudinal impact of the CDSS/ESS implementation on the outcomes of interest. Segmented regression is used to measure statistically the changes in level (immediate change in the rate of the outcome) and slope (changes in the trend) during the before period as compared to the after period (38,39,41). Segmented regression analysis is a powerful statistical method for estimating intervention effects in ITS studies (39,47). Before this analysis, we will graph the mean/percentage and SD/CI scores for all outcomes presented as monthly data to determine the structure and trend of the data. We will test for the presence of the autocorrelation (extent to which the data are dependent on each other) using the Durbin-Watson statistic (48,49) and, if necessary, we will adjust for autocorrelation and seasonality. The transition period – during which the CDSS/ESS will be implemented, and the healthcare users will be trained – will not be included in the analysis. Such a lag period is currently applied in ITS study (50). Statistical analysis will be conducted using RStudio® (RStudio Inc., Boston, MA, USA) – an integrated development environment developed for R.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The data will be collected and analysed anonymously. No patient consent is required for such a study, according to the French legislation. This study is conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Nancy and registered on the ClinicalTrials platform.

The results of the study will be presented at scientific and medical conferences and will be published in peer-reviewed journals. The publication of the study results will not depend on the nature of the results.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Stéphanie Bonnay who is the project manager and coordinate this study.

Author Contributions AB, AF, NA and NT significantly contributed to designing the study. AB, CP and NT drafted the manuscript. AF and JL worked on the implementation of the ESS supporting HCAI. AC,

AL, NAi and BD worked on the implementation of the CDSS supporting AMS. AC, AF, AL, BD, CP, JL, NA, NAi and NT are members of the scientific committee in charge of the study supervision. AB is responsible for dataset preparation and analysis. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding BioMérieux® – partner of Lumed® – funds the installation and the maintenance of APSS and ZINC software during the evaluation time (grant number is not applicable).

Disclaimer The funder has no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, decision to publish or writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Nancy University Hospital.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http:// creativecommons. org/ licenses/ by- nc/ 4. 0/.

REFERENCES

- O'Neill J. Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations. 2016. Available: https://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/160518_Final%20paper_with%20cover.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), European Medecines Agency (EMA). The bacterial challenge: time to react. 2009. Available: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/media/en/publications/Publications/0909_TER _The_Bacterial_Challenge_Time_to_React.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 3. Cassini A, Högberg LD, Plachouras D, Quattrocchi A, Hoxha A, Simonsen GS, et al. Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU and the European Economic Area in 2015: a population-level modelling analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2019;19:56–66. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30605-4.
- European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in Europe, annual report 2018. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019. Available: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/surveillance-antimicrobial-resistance-Europe-2018.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 5. European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), Santé Publique France. EARS-Net France, 2002-2017. Contribution de la France au réseau européen de surveillance de la résistance bactérienne aux antibiotiques. 2017. Available: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/media/files/01-maladies-et-traumatismes/infections-associees-aux-soins-et-resistance-aux-antibiotiques/resistance-aux-antibiotiques/synthese_ears_net_france_2002-2017 [Accessed April 2021].

- 6. Bell BG, Schellevis F, Stobberingh E, Goossens H, Pringle M. A systematic review and metaanalysis of the effects of antibiotic consumption on antibiotic resistance. *BMC infectious diseases* 2014;14:13. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-14-13.
- 7. European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Antimicrobial consumption in EU/EEA, annual epidemiological report for 2018. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019. Available: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Antimicrobial-consumption-EU-EEA.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 8. World Health Organization (WHO). Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2015. Available: http://www.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/193736/1/9789241509763_eng.pdf?ua=1 [Accessed April 2021].
- 9. Dyar OJ, Huttner B, Schouten J, Pulcini C, ESGAP (ESCMID Study Group for Antimicrobial stewardship). What is antimicrobial stewardship? *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2017;23:793–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2017.08.026.
- 10. Thursky K. Use of computerized decision support systems to improve antibiotic prescribing. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2006;4:491–507. doi: 10.1586/14787210.4.3.491.
- 11. de Bruin JS, Seeling W, Schuh C. Data use and effectiveness in electronic surveillance of healthcare associated infections in the 21st century: a systematic review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2014;21:942–51. doi: 10.1136/amiajnl-2013-002089.
- 12. Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM, MacDougall C, Schuetz AN, Septimus EJ, et al. Implementing an Antibiotic Stewardship Program: Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;62:e51-77. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciw118.
- 13. Nault V, Pepin J, Beaudoin M, Perron J, Moutquin J-M, Valiquette L. Sustained impact of a computer-assisted antimicrobial stewardship intervention on antimicrobial use and length of stay. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017;72:933–40. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkw468.
- 14. Mahamat A, MacKenzie FM, Brooker K, Monnet DL, Daures JP, Gould IM. Impact of infection control interventions and antibiotic use on hospital MRSA: a multivariate interrupted timeseries analysis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007;30:169–76. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2007.04.005.
- 15. Freeman R, Moore LSP, García Álvarez L, Charlett A, Holmes A. Advances in electronic surveillance for healthcare-associated infections in the 21st Century: a systematic review. *J Hosp Infect* 2013;84:106–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2012.11.031.
- 16. Russo PL, Shaban RZ, Macbeth D, Carter A, Mitchell BG. Impact of electronic healthcare-associated infection surveillance software on infection prevention resources: a systematic review of the literature. *J Hosp Infect* 2018;99:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2017.09.002.
- 17. Carracedo-Martinez E, Gonzalez-Gonzalez C, Teixeira-Rodrigues A, Prego-Dominguez J, Takkouche B, Herdeiro MT, et al. Computerized Clinical Decision Support Systems and Antibiotic Prescribing: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Ther* 2019;41:552–81. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2019.01.018.
- 18. Schweitzer VA, van Werkhoven CH, Rodríguez Baño J, Bielicki J, Harbarth S, Hulscher M, et al. Optimizing design of research to evaluate antibiotic stewardship interventions: consensus

- recommendations of a multinational working group. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020;26:41–50. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2019.08.017.
- 19. de Kraker MEA, Abbas M, Huttner B, Harbarth S. Good epidemiological practice: a narrative review of appropriate scientific methods to evaluate the impact of antimicrobial stewardship interventions. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2017;23:819–25. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2017.05.019.
- 20. Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Nancy. Chiffres clés 2019. 2020. Available: http://www.chu-nancy.fr/images/CHRU/Chiffres-cles-2019-web.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Core Elements of Hospital Antibiotic Stewardship Programs, 2019. 2019. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/healthcare/pdfs/hospital-core-elements-H.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 22. World Health Organization (WHO). Antimicrobial stewardship programmes in health-care facilities in low- and middle-income countries: a WHO practical toolkit. Geneva: WHO; 2019. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/329404 [Accessed April 2021].
- 23. World Health Organization (WHO). Infection prevention and control assessment framework at the facility level. Geneva: WHO; 2018. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/330072 [Accessed April 2021].
- 24. World Health Organization (WHO). Guidelines on core components of infection prevention and control programmes at the national and acute health care facility level. Geneva: WHO; 2016. Available: https://www.who.int/infection-prevention/publications/ipc-components-guidelines/en/ [Accessed April 2021].
- 25. Santé Publique France. Enquête nationale de prévalence des infections nosocomiales et des traitements anti-infectieux en établissements de santé, mai-juin 2017. 2019. Available: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/content/download/189000/2328245 [Accessed April 2021].
- 26. Santé Publique France. Surveillance de la consommation des antibiotiques Réseau ATB-Raisin, France. Résultats 2017. 2019. Available: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/content/download/209355/2415496 [Accessed April 2021].
- 27. Bevilacqua S, Demoré B, Boschetti E, Doco-Lecompte T, May I, May T, et al. 15 years of antibiotic stewardship policy in the Nancy Teaching Hospital. *Med Mal Infect* 2011;41:532–9. doi: 10.1016/j.medmal.2011.08.001.
- 28. Demoré B, Humbert P, Boschetti E, Bevilacqua S, Clerc-Urmès I, May T, et al. Evaluation of effects of an operational multidisciplinary team on antibiotic use in the medium to long term at a French university hospital. *Int J Clin Pharm* 2017;39:1061–9. doi: 10.1007/s11096-017-0516-5.
- 29. Bevilacqua S, Demoré B, Erpelding M-L, Boschetti E, May T, May I, et al. Effects of an operational multidisciplinary team on hospital antibiotic use and cost in France: a cluster controlled trial. *Int J Clin Pharm* 2011;33:521–8. doi: 10.1007/s11096-011-9499-9.
- 30. Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des produits de santé. Liste des antibiotiques critiques. Actualisation 2015. 2016. Available: https://www.omedit-grandest.ars.sante.fr/system/files/2017-08/ATBC-antibiotiques-critiques-actualisation2015.pdf [Accessed April 2021].

- 31. AntibioEst. AntibioEst Conseil, information, bon usage des antibiotiques. Available: https://www.antibioest.org/ [Accessed April 2021].
- 32. Beaudoin M, Kabanza F, Nault V, Valiquette L. An Antimicrobial Prescription Surveillance System that Learns from Experience. *AI Magazine* 2014;35:15. doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v35i1.2500.
- 33. LUMED. ZINC Monitoring System: Prevent & Control Healthcare Related Infections. Available: https://lumed.ca/en/zinc/ [Accessed April 2021].
- 34. Haut Conseil de Santé Publique (HCSP). Actualisation des recommandations relatives à la maîtrise de la diffusion des bactéries hautement résistantes aux antibiotiques émergentes (BHRe). 2019. Available: https://www.hcsp.fr/Explore.cgi/Telecharger?NomFichier=hcspr20191211_actualidesrecomma relativauxbhre.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 35. Société Français d'Hygiène Hospitalière (SF2H). Recommandations nationales Prévention de la transmission croisée : précautions complémentaires contact. Consensus formalisé d'experts. HygièneS; 2009. Available: https://www.sf2h.net/wp-content/uploads/2009/01/SF2H_prevention-transmission-croisee-2009.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 36. Société Français d'Hygiène Hospitalière (SF2H). Recommandations nationales Prévention de la transmission croisée par voie respiratoire : Air ou Gouttelettes. Recommandations pour la pratique clinique (RPC). HygièneS; 2013. Available: https://www.sf2h.net/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/SF2H_recommandations_air-ou-gouttelettes_2013.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 37. Zhang F, Wagner AK, Ross-Degnan D. Simulation-based power calculation for designing interrupted time series analyses of health policy interventions. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011 Nov;64(11):1252–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.02.007.
- 38. Ramsay CR, Matowe L, Grilli R, Grimshaw JM, Thomas RE. Interrupted time series designs in health technology assessment: lessons from two systematic reviews of behavior change strategies. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 2003;19:613–23. doi: 10.1017/s0266462303000576.
- 39. Wagner AK, Soumerai SB, Zhang F, Ross-Degnan D. Segmented regression analysis of interrupted time series studies in medication use research. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2002;27:299–309. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2710.2002.00430.x.
- 40. Corbin JM, Strauss A. Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria. *Qual Sociol* 1990;13:3–21. doi.org/10.1007/BF00988593.
- 41. Penfold RB, Zhang F. Use of interrupted time series analysis in evaluating health care quality improvements. *Acad Pediatr* 2013;13:S38-44. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2013.08.002.
- 42. Kontopantelis E, Doran T, Springate DA, Buchan I, Reeves D. Regression based quasi-experimental approach when randomisation is not an option: interrupted time series analysis. *BMJ* 2015;350:h2750. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h2750.
- 43. Davey P, Marwick CA, Scott CL, Charani E, McNeil K, Brown E, et al. Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017;2:CD003543. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003543.pub4.

BMJ Open

- 44. Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC). What study designs can be considered for inclusion in an EPOC review and what should they be called? Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care; 2017. Available: https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/Resources-for-authors2017/what_study_designs_should_be_included_in_an_epoc_review.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 45. Stone SP, Cooper BS, Kibbler CC, Cookson BD, Roberts JA, Medley GF, et al. The ORION statement: guidelines for transparent reporting of outbreak reports and intervention studies of nosocomial infection. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2007;7:282–8. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70082-8.
- 46. Lopez Bernal J, Cummins S, Gasparrini A. Interrupted time series regression for the evaluation of public health interventions: a tutorial. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017;46:348–55. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw098.
- 47. Abeysinghe T, Balasooriya U, Tsui A. Small-Sample Forecasting Regression or Arima Models? J *Quant Econ* 2003;1:103–13. doi: 10.1007/BF03404652.
- 48. Durbin J, Watson GS. Testing for serial correlation in least squares regression. I. *Biometrika* 1950;37:409–28.
- 49. Durbin J, Watson GS. Testing for serial correlation in least squares regression. II. *Biometrika* 1951;38:159–78.
- 50. Lopez Bernal J, Soumerai S, Gasparrini A. A methodological framework for model selection in interrupted time series studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2018;103:82–91. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.05.026.

 Table 1: Description of secondary outcomes

Outcome	Outcome measures	Measured by	Population	Data source
Safety	All-cause intra-hospital mortality rate	All causes of death/1 000 inpatients/month	All adult inpatients	Medical records department
	Average length of stay	Total length of stay for each inpatient/number of stays/month	All adult inpatients	Medical records department
	Incidence of HCAI	New cases of HCAI/ number of inpatients/month	All adult inpatients	IPC team
Impact	Use of overall antibiotics and by therapeutic classes	DDDs/1 000 patients/month	All adult inpatients	Hospital pharmacy
	Proportion of the antibiotic prescriptions compliant with guidelines	Number of antibiotic prescriptions compliant with guidelines/number of antibiotic prescriptions evaluated	Sample of adult inpatients receiving antibiotics	Medical files sampled by the medical records department Medical files reviewed by the members of the AMS team
	Proportion of the additional precaution prescriptions compliant with hospital recommendations	Number of additional precaution prescriptions compliant with hospital recommendations/number of additional precaution prescriptions evaluated	Sample of adult inpatients required additional precautions	Medical files sampled by the medical records department Medical files examined by the members of the IPC team
	Proportion of <i>C. difficile</i>	Number of stays with <i>C. difficile</i> infections/number of stays with antibiotic treatment/month	All adult inpatients treated by antibiotics	ZINC (microbiological data) Hospital pharmacy
	Proportion of MDRB	Number of stays with MDRB identification by bacterial sample done after 48h of hospitalization/number of stays with identification of bacterial isolates/month	All adult inpatients with identification of bacterial isolates	ZINC (microbiological data) Hospital pharmacy
Hospital costs	Costs of antibiotics	Costs (in €) of all oral and intravenous antibiotics delivered by hospital pharmacy/month	All adult inpatients treated by antibiotics	Hospital pharmacy
	Average cost of hospital stays	Costs (in €) of hospital stays for each inpatient/number of stays/month	All adult inpatients treated by antibiotics	Financial department
	Costs of the implementation of the CDSS/ESS and of the purchased equipment	Costs (in €) of installation and maintenance of the CDSS/ESS after 12 months of implementation	/	Information technology service
Users' satisfaction and acceptability	Satisfaction	Qualitative study after 6 months of use	All members of AMS and IPC teams	Individual semi-structured interviews
	Proportion of APSS' alerts accepted by the AMS team	Number of APSS' alerts accepted by the AMS team/number of alerts generated by APSS/month	All alerts generated by APSS	APSS
	Proportion of AMS team's recommendations accepted by prescribers	Number of AMS team's recommendations accepted by prescribers/number of recommendations given by the AMS team to prescribers/month	All recommendations made by the AMS team	APSS

Evaluating antibiotic stewardship and healthcare-associated infections surveillance assisted by computer: protocol for an interrupted time series study

Journal:	BMJ Open		
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-056125.R1		
Article Type:	Protocol		
Date Submitted by the Author:			
Complete List of Authors:	Baudet, Alexandre; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Service d'odontologie AGRINIER, Nelly; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, CIC, Epidémiologie Clinique CHARMILLON, Alexandre; CHRU de Nancy, Service des maladies infectieuses Pulcini, Céline; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Service des maladies infectieuses LOZNIEWSKI, Alain; CHRU de Nancy, Service de microbiologie; Université de Lorraine, Stress Immunity Pathogens unit (SIMPA) EA 7300 AISSA, Nejla; CHRU de Nancy, Service de microbiologie LIZON, Julie; CHRU de Nancy, Département territorial d'hygiène et prévention du risque infectieux THILLY, Nathalie; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Département Méthodologie, Promotion, Investigation DEMORÉ, Béatrice; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Pharmacie FLORENTIN, Arnaud; Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360; CHRU de Nancy, Département territorial d'hygiène et prévention du risque infectieux		
Primary Subject Heading :	Public health		
Secondary Subject Heading:	Infectious diseases, Pharmacology and therapeutics, Research methods		
Keywords:	Protocols & guidelines < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Infection control < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, PUBLIC HEALTH, CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY		



Evaluating antibiotic stewardship and healthcare-associated infections surveillance assisted by computer: protocol for an interrupted time series study

Alexandre BAUDET^{1,2*}, Nelly AGRINIER^{1,3}, Alexandre CHARMILLON⁴, Céline PULCINI^{1,4}, Alain LOZNIEWSKI^{5,6}, Nejla AISSA⁵, Julie LIZON⁷, Nathalie THILLY^{1,8}, Béatrice DEMORÉ^{1,9}, Arnaud FLORENTIN^{1,7}

Author affiliations

- ¹ Université de Lorraine, APEMAC EA4360, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ² Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Service d'odontologie, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ³ CHRU-Nancy, INSERM, Université de Lorraine, CIC, Epidémiologie Clinique, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁴ Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Service des maladies infectieuses, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁵ CHRU-Nancy, Service de microbiologie, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁶ Université de Lorraine, Stress Immunity Pathogens unit (SIMPA) EA 7300, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁷ Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Département territorial d'hygiène et prévention du risque infectieux, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁸ Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Département Méthodologie, Promotion, Investigation, F-54000 Nancy, France
- ⁹ Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Pharmacie, F-54000 Nancy, France

Correspondence to

Dr Alexandre Baudet

Faculté d'Odontologie de Lorraine. 7 avenue de la forêt de Haye BP 20199 - 54505 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France

alexandre.baudet@univ-lorraine.fr

Keywords

antibiotic stewardship; healthcare-associated infection; clinical decision support; interrupted time series; protocol; infection prevention and control; antibiotic resistance

Words count: 3300 words

ABSTRACT

Introduction Antibiotic resistance is one of the most pressing health threats that mankind faces now and in the coming decades. Antibiotic resistance leads to longer hospital stays, higher medical costs and increased mortality. In order to tackle antibiotic resistance, we will implement in our tertiary care university hospital a computerized-decision support system (CDSS) facilitating antibiotic stewardship and an electronic surveillance software (ESS) facilitating infection prevention and control activities. We describe the protocol to evaluate the impact of the CDSS/ESS combination in adult inpatients.

Methods and analysis We conduct a pragmatic, prospective, single-centre, before-after uncontrolled study with an interrupted time-series analysis 12 months before and 12 months after the introduction of the CDSS for antibiotic stewardship (APSS) and ESS for infection surveillance (ZINC). APSS and ZINC will assist respectively the antibiotic stewardship and the infection prevention and control teams of Nancy University Hospital (France). We will evaluate the impact of the CDSS/ESS on the antibiotic use in adult (≥ 18 years) inpatients (hospitalised ≥ 48 h). The primary outcome is the prescription rate by all healthcare professionals from the hospital of all systemic antibiotics expressed in defined daily doses/1 000 patients/month. Concurrently, we will assess the safety of the intervention, its impact on the appropriateness of antibiotic prescriptions and on additional precautions (isolation precautions) as recommended in guidelines, and on bacterial epidemiology (multidrug-resistant bacteria and *Clostridioides difficile* infections) in the hospital. Finally, we will evaluate the users' satisfaction and the cost of this intervention from the hospital perspective.

Ethics and dissemination The protocol has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Nancy University Hospital and registered on the ClinicalTrials platform (NCT04976829). Results will be disseminated through conferences' presentations and publications in peer-reviewed journals.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- A large dataset with monthly data over a 12-month before and 12-month after period will provide a precise estimation of the effects of the intervention.
- A multidisciplinary collaboration approach with infectious disease physicians, microbiologists, pharmacists, infection prevention and control practitioners and computer scientists – will be reinforced throughout the intervention.
- This would be a first of its kind evaluation of antibiotic stewardship and healthcare-associated infection computerized-decision support system in France, evaluating its effectiveness, safety, acceptability and costs.
- The study is limited to only one hospital in France and there is no control group in the interrupted time series quasi-experimental analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Background and rationale

Antibiotics are drugs that can prevent and treat bacterial infections. Unfortunately, in response to their use — and accelerated by their misuse — bacteria adapt by developing antibiotic resistance. Without urgent action to change the way healthcare professionals prescribe antibiotics, common infections and minor injuries could once again kill. If we do not find proactive solutions now to slow down the rise of antimicrobial resistance, the impact of drug-resistant infections would lead to 10 million people dying every year by 2050 and would cost up to 100 trillion USD across the world (1).

Several studies have estimated the morbidity and mortality of infections due to the most common multidrug-resistant bacteria (MDRB) from the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net) data. In Europe, burden assessments of infections caused in humans by eight MDRB revealed the increase of antibiotic resistance over the last years. Between 2007 and 2015, the estimated attributable yearly deaths to these infections increased from 25 000 to 33 110 (2,3). For example, percentage of Escherichia coli from invasive isolates with resistance to third-generation cephalosporins increased significatively from 14.6% in 2015 to 15.1% in 2018, and 58.3% of E. coli isolated in 2018 were resistant to at least one of the antibiotic groups (4). In France, the number of infections and deaths due to MDRB was estimated at respectively 124 806 and 5 543 in 2015 (3). Antibiotic resistance has also increased for other bacteria than E. coli. For example, percentage of Klebsiella pneumoniae from invasive isolates with resistance to third-generation cephalosporins increased from 4.1% to 28.8% between 2005 and 2017 (5). The development of antibiotic resistance is correlated with antibiotic use, so it is crucial that antibiotics are only used when indicated and that the most appropriate antibiotic regimen is used (6). In 2018, France had the fourth-highest human consumption of antibacterials in Europe: 25.3 defined daily doses (DDD) per 1 000 inhabitants per day were consumed in the community and hospital sector (7).

The Antimicrobial Resistance global action plan of WHO has five strategic objectives including the strengthening of surveillance and research, the reduction of infection incidence through hygiene and infection prevention and control (IPC) measures, and the optimisation of the use of antimicrobial medicines (8). Antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) programmes are defined as "a coherent set of actions which promote using antimicrobials responsibly". They are encouraged to improve and measure the appropriate use of antibiotics by promoting the selection of the optimal drug regimen including dosing, duration of therapy and routes of administration (9). In the hospital setting, the emergence of electronic medical records and other electronic patient data has facilitated the use of computerised decision support systems (CDSS) for AMS (10) and electronic surveillance software (ESS) for healthcareassociated infections (HCAI) surveillance (11). CDSS and ESS match electronic patient data - such as clinical, microbiological, pharmaceutical, and administrative patient records - with computerized knowledge base (rules based on expert opinion and clinical guidelines) for the purpose of organizing and presenting the appropriate and updated information to users (10,11). Healthcare workers who use CDSS may then make clinical decisions with reduced error and increased accuracy (10). CDSS targeting prescribers are recommended (12): they appear beneficial for improving the appropriateness of prescriptions and for reducing the average hospital length of stay and the antimicrobial spending (10,13). Implementation of IPC measures is also essential, and has been associated with a decrease in MDRB (14). ESS for HCAI are automated methods which enable continuous and hospital-wide surveillance for the purpose of presenting the appropriate information to IPC team who may then focus less on infection detection and more on infection prevention (11,15). ESS maximizes the

BMJ Open

effectiveness of IPC activities (15) and reduces the amount of time that IPC teams spend on surveillance activities (16). The implementation, in 2022, of a CDSS supporting AMS with an ESS supporting HCAI surveillance (CDSS/ESS) in our hospital is an opportunity to evaluate the CDSS/ESS impact. On the one hand, several studies had evaluated the effectiveness of CDSS in decision-making of physicians on the prescription of antibiotics (17). On the other hand, the studies evaluating ESS were mostly either retrospective and prospective cohorts (16). But, to the best of our knowledge, no study evaluated simultaneously the CDSS/ESS effectiveness, safety, acceptability and impact on costs using a quasi-experimental design study. Quasi-experimental studies are recommended to evaluate AMS interventions (18,19).

Objectives

The aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of the CDSS/ESS on the overall use of antibiotics (Defined Daily Doses (DDDs)/1 000 patients/month) prescribed to adult inpatients.

The secondary objectives are to assess: (1) the safety of the CDSS/ESS use on (i) all-cause intra-hospital mortality, (ii) length of stay and (iii) the incidence of nosocomial epidemies and of the most frequent HCAI (*Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*); (2) the impact of the CDSS/ESS on (i) the use of specific antibiotics and therapeutic classes with potential for high selective pressure, (ii) the appropriateness of prescriptions of antibiotics and of additional precautions as recommended in guidelines and (iii) the bacterial epidemiology (MDRB and *Clostridioides difficile* infections); (3) the costs associated with the implementation and the use of the CDSS/ESS, as well as cost savings due to potential antibiotic use decrease and length of stay reduction, from the hospital perspective; and (4) the users' satisfaction and acceptability of the CDSS/ESS.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design

This quasi-experimental study is a pragmatic, single center, interventional, before-after uncontrolled study using interrupted time series (ITS) analyses. The study will cover a retrospective period of 12 months before and a prospective period of 12 months after the CDSS/ESS implementation.

Setting and Participants

Nancy University Hospital (France) is a 1497-bed tertiary-care hospital including two main geographical sites. In 2019, it counted 9 600 employees (including 1 514 full-time equivalents (FTE) for healthcare professionals and interns) and 162 624 hospital stays (20). An AMS team is present – including infectious disease physicians (1.2 FTE), clinical pharmacists (1.2 FTE) and clinical microbiologists (0.1 FTE) – as well as an IPC team including IPC physicians and pharmacists (2.0 FTE), and nurses (4.5 FTE). The University Hospital of Nancy meets all the 7 Core Elements of Hospital Antibiotic Stewardship Programs developed by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (21) and meets the essential healthcare facility core elements for AMS programmes developed by the WHO (22). It owns an advanced IPC level according to the IPC assessment framework (23) which support the WHO guidelines on core components of IPC programmes (24). In 2017, the point prevalence on one single day of patients treated with antibiotics was 24.4% in the University Hospital of Nancy vs 21.5% [18.9-24.4] in comparable French University Hospitals. In the same nationwide point-prevalence survey, the prevalence of HCAI was 7.8% in the University Hospital of Nancy vs 7.0% [6.0-7.9] in comparable French University Hospitals. In this nationwide point-prevalence survey, 25 University and

Regional Hospitals were randomly select in France (including the University Hospital of Nancy) and constituted a representative sample (25). Total antibiotic consumption was 591 DDD/1 000 hospital days in 2017 in the French University Hospital of Nancy and the median consumption was 518 DDD/1 000 patients in comparable French University Hospitals (26). The five microorganisms responsible for over 55% of HCAI are: *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (25).

The study includes the hospital departments of medicine (intensive care, cardiology, hepato-gastro-enterology, haematology, pneumology, infectious diseases, neurology, ...), surgery, long-term care, and rehabilitation care. The paediatric and gynaeco-obstetric activities are excluded.

To assess the impact on antibiotic use and intrahospital mortality, length of stay, incidence of HCAI, all inpatients ≥ 18 years and hospitalised ≥ 48h in Nancy University Hospital will be considered in the study. To assess the impact of the CDSS/ESS on the appropriateness of antibiotic prescriptions and of additional IPC precautions, inpatients will be randomly selected from the study adult population receiving respectively antibiotic and additional precautions prescriptions before and after the CDSS/ESS implementation (due to the wide number of patients receiving antibiotic and additional precautions prescriptions, a random sample will be used to allow the analysis of the patient files by AMS and IPC teams). To assess the impact of the CDSS/ESS on bacterial epidemiology, patients will be randomly selected from identification of bacterial isolates in the study population. To assess the hospital costs due to antibiotic use, all the study adult population treated by antibiotics will be considered. Finally, to assess the users' satisfaction and acceptability, AMS and IPC teams will be interviewed.

Study Organization and Patient Involvement

This study is promoted and coordinated by the University Hospital of Nancy. A scientific committee is in charge of supervising all scientific aspects and organisational issues occurring during the study period. This committee is multidisciplinary, including one pharmacist (BD), two infectious diseases specialist (AC, CP), two clinical microbiologists (AL, NAi), two IPC practitioners (one MD (AF) and one PharmD (JL)) and two epidemiologists and public health specialists (NA, NT). This evaluation is coordinated by both a PhD student (AB) and a project manager. The committee will meet regularly: at least one meeting before the study starts to define the protocol, at least two meetings during the study period to discuss potential organisational issues and at least one meeting after the end of the study period to present and discuss the results.

Patients were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

Intervention

The intervention consists of the implementation of a CDSS supporting AMS and an ESS supporting HCAI surveillance, in 2022, to help respectively AMS and IPC teams. These teams will continue their usual practices but with the assistance of the CDSS/ESS. All inpatients will potentially benefit from the intervention, there is no random allocation nor control group.

AMS Team Activities and Implementation of the CDSS supporting AMS

The AMS team aims at reducing inappropriate and unnecessary antibiotic prescribing. The AMS programme in the University Hospital of Nancy was described in 2011 by Bevilacqua *et al.* (27) and evaluated in two studies (28,29). Currently, the AMS team reviews antibiotic prescriptions without a

BMJ Open

specific software: hospital pharmacists analyse all electronic antibiotic prescriptions one by one using the electronic patient's medical record. Given the number of patients hospitalised each day, this prescription analysis is time-consuming for pharmacists, and only a limited number of antibiotic prescriptions are analysed at D0, D3 and D7 of therapy with an infectious disease physician (mainly last-line and broad-spectrum antibiotics) (30)). Since 2017, two infectious disease physicians of the AMS team conduct regularly (at least weekly) ward rounds in several targeted units in the hospital; they also call physicians managing the patients to discuss difficult cases with them and advise on a therapeutic strategy and regular follow-up. The microbiologists send in real time e-mails to the infectious disease physicians of the AMS team to inform them of positive bacterial blood cultures. Infectious disease physicians also meet daily with microbiologists in the microbiological department – except during the weekends – to discuss bacterial identification and antibiotic susceptibility tests of positive blood cultures and other relevant samples.

The implementation of the CDSS supporting AMS - named APSS (Lumed®, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada) – will help the AMS team in its daily activities. APSS is an antimicrobial prescription system which monitors the relevant clinical information of inpatients to facilitate the evaluation of the appropriateness of antibiotic prescriptions by AMS team members (age, gender, respiratory rate, temperature, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressures, cardiac frequency, absolute neutrophil count and white blood cell count as indicators of immune system status, creatinine clearance as an indicator of renal function, ...) and to assist them in the post prescription review process. As new information becomes available, it automatically checks in real time if the ongoing treatment seems appropriate or not according to predefined rules in its knowledge base based on the local "Antibioguide" guidelines (31). The knowledge base contains rules of contraindications related to drug-drug interactions, drug-bug or drug-laboratory mismatches, cheaper alternatives, maximum daily dose, maximum and minimum dose and frequency, maximum duration, and route of administration. APSS generate automatic alerts sent to the AMS team when a prescription seems inappropriate. These alerts are prioritized by APSS using rules which generate a score to target patients who are most in need of post prescription review. The approach used by APSS to review antimicrobial prescriptions was previously described by Beaudoin et al. (32). The AMS team will screen these alerts daily (08:30 a.m. to 06:30 p.m.) – except during the weekends, where the alerts will be treated the next Monday – according to their prioritized levels. If the number of alerts is too hight, the lowest levels of alert will not be reviewed. The AMS team will accept or refuse the alerts based on clinical relevance, after a review of the electronic medical record if needed. For each alert, the AMS team will indicate in APSS whether the alert is overridden or considered clinically relevant or irrelevant. If the alert is found to be relevant, the AMS team will contact prescribers to discuss their prescriptions and advise them on potential improvements. Prescribers will remain free to change their prescriptions or not.

IPC Team Activities and Implementation of the ESS supporting HCAI

The IPC team is a team including mainly hygiene-specialised physicians, pharmacists and nurses which aim at improving the standard and additional IPC precautions, and to reduce the HCAI rate, including MDRB infections. Currently, the IPC team achieves its mission without a specific software by receiving e-mail alerts concerning MDRB and epidemic microorganisms identified by the microbiological department. Then, the IPC team checks the electronic medical record of these patients and looks for contact patients (i.e. patients managed in the same hospital ward and during the same period of time as the infected patient) using a software developed by the IPC team. The IPC team searches for the location of each contact patient – one-by-one – by reviewing their electronic medical records. Finally,

the IPC team informs healthcare professionals managing the patients to discuss the implementation of hygiene precautions and screening tests.

The ESS supporting HCAI surveillance – named ZINC (Lumed®, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada) – will be used by the IPC team. ZINC is an ESS which monitors the microbiological information of inpatients and facilitates the surveillance of HCAI and diseases with epidemic potential (all MDRB including extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria, and other bacteria and viruses with epidemic potential like *C. difficile* and measles for example). All relevant clinical and microbiological electronic hospital data are automatically sent to ZINC. ZINC allows for real-time identification and follow-up of patients suspect of having a HCAI. Moreover, it easily identifies the list of contact patients based on a definition of contact time with the infected patient. Finally, it recognises all MDRB from the results of the antibiotic susceptibility testing results (33). Alerts will be generated by ZINC for all identification of microorganisms requiring additional precautions. The IPC team will screen the alerts daily (08:30 a.m. to 06:30 p.m.) – except during the weekends, where the alerts will be treated the next Monday. The IPC team will contact the physician in charge of positive or contact patients to inform him/her and double-check the implementation of hygiene precautions and/or the screening tests according to French guidelines (34–36).

Outcomes Measures, Data Sources

The primary outcome is the prescription rate by all healthcare professionals from the Nancy University Hospital of all systemic antibiotics (J01 code according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical – ATC – 2017 classification) expressed in DDDs/1 000 patients/month, calculated monthly during the 12-month before and 12-month after period. These data are routinely collected through the hospital pharmacy database.

The secondary outcomes – presented in Table 1 – will be collected monthly during the whole 24-month study period, except the proportion of prescriptions compliant with guidelines (evaluated twice during the study period), the users' satisfaction and the costs of the implementation of the CDSS/ESS which will be evaluated respectively after 6 and 12 months of CDSS/ESS implementation. Concerning the assessment of the users' satisfaction, a qualitative study based on individual and semi-directive interviews will be performed by an investigator (AB) with all the members of AMS and IPC teams who will use the software.

The intervention is planned for 2022. Data for the before period will be collected retrospectively, while data for the after period may be collected prospectively.

Confounding factors, such as other antibiotic stewardship or IPC interventions during the study period will be collected and taken into account in the statistical analysis. Changes in the configuration of the CDSS/ESS, their reasons and dates of occurrence will also be collected.

The number of hospitalization episodes and of inpatients receiving antibiotics will be collected – with inpatients' gender and age – to describe the studied population. To describe the AMS team activity, the number of reviewed antibiotic prescriptions, notably concerning last-line and broad-spectrum antibiotics will be collected. The number of recommendations made by AMS and IPC teams following an APSS or ZINC alert will be reported, as well as the type of AMS's intervention (dosing adjustment, switch from intravenous to oral therapy, immediate discontinuation of treatment, planned end of treatment, adjustment based on antimicrobial serum levels or adjustment according to microbiology results for example).

Statistical analysis

Power and sample size calculations

Based on the study conducted by Nault *et al.* (13), we estimate that the effect size (expected intervention effect over its standard error) of the CDSS supporting AMS intervention on our primary outcome will be ≥ 2 for both level and trend changes. According to the simulation-based power calculation for designing ITS of Zhang *et al.* (37) – with both level and trend changes assuming effect size ≥ 2 , equal pre- and post-intervention time periods and statistical significance level = 0.05 - a 24-time periods study is sufficient to obtain a power > 0.9. The time period of one month is currently used in ITS (38). A 12-month before and 12-month after intervention period allows the adequate evaluation of seasonal variations (39).

Due to potential Covid-19 impact on several outcomes (it had notably affected the use of some antibiotics in several French hospitals (40)), a preliminary study regarding Covid-19 impact on the antibiotic use will be performed in our hospital to take into account the possible variation during the different waves of Covid-19. The results of this preliminary study will be used to improve the statistical analysis and to discuss the local results.

To increase the power study and to assess the long-term sustainability, an extended study which will cover a 24-month before and 24-month after period will be performed at a later stage using the same outcomes.

Descriptive analysis

Inpatient characteristics, prescriptions, and other descriptive data will be described as numbers, percentages and confidence intervals (CI) for categorical variables, and as means and standard deviations (SD) or as medians and interquartile ranges – depending on the distribution – for continuous variables.

Qualitative analysis

The interviews will be fully transcribed. Then a duplicate analysis of the data will be performed by two investigators using an inductive method derived from the grounded theory (a qualitative research method focused on the identification of concepts that emerge from study interviews or observation (41)). NVivo® software (QSR International, Melbourne, Australia) will be used to facilitate the coding process of the transcripts.

Interrupted time series analysis

Assessment of the CDSS/ESS impact will be realized with ITS analysis. ITS analysis is the best approach for quasi-experimental studies assessing longitudinal effects of interventions introduced at a specific time point where a randomized trial is infeasible or unethical (39,42,43). Before-after quasi-experimental studies using ITS analysis is the most common study design used for evaluation of antibiotic stewardship interventions for hospital inpatients (44). ITS analysis is also recommended to evaluate the effects of IPC interventions according to EPOC and ORION guidelines (45,46). In this study, we comply with ITS guidance (38,47) and recommendations to evaluate the impact of AMS interventions with two limits: the study is limited to a single centre and there is no control group (18). This study will not include an internal control group for practical and ethical reasons. Indeed, the implementation of the CDSS will need a change in practice to support routine work of the AMS team who will not continue its current way of operation in a control group for efficiency reasons. Moreover, the implementation of the ESS will include all hospital wards for ethical reasons because it should allow

a better prevention and management of the HCAI which can result in serious illness, prolong hospital stay, drain healthcare resources and may result in loss of life. Moreover, the use of an external control group (like another hospital) seems not appropriated because, in ITS, the control group should be exposed to any such co-interventions or events that might also affect the intervention group (48). This is not possible with another hospital as control.

The segmented regression analysis will be used to evaluate the longitudinal impact of the CDSS/ESS implementation on the outcomes of interest. Segmented regression is used to measure statistically the changes in level (immediate change in the rate of the outcome) and slope (changes in the trend) during the before period as compared to the after period (38,39,42). Segmented regression analysis is a powerful statistical method for estimating intervention effects in ITS studies (39,49). Before this analysis, we will graph the mean/percentage and SD/CI scores for all outcomes presented as monthly data to determine the structure and trend of the data. We will test for the presence of the autocorrelation (extent to which the data are dependent on each other) using the Durbin-Watson statistic (50,51) and, if necessary, we will adjust for autocorrelation and seasonality. The transition period – during which the CDSS/ESS will be implemented, and the healthcare users will be trained – will not be included in the analysis. Such a lag period is currently applied in ITS study (52). Statistical analysis will be conducted using RStudio® (RStudio Inc., Boston, MA, USA) – an integrated development environment developed for R.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The data will be collected and analysed anonymously. No patient consent is required for such a study, according to the French legislation. This study is conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Nancy and registered on the ClinicalTrials platform.

The results of the study will be presented at scientific and medical conferences and will be published in peer-reviewed journals. The publication of the study results will not depend on the nature of the results.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Stéphanie Bonnay who is the project manager and coordinate this study.

Author Contributions AB, AF, NA and NT significantly contributed to designing the study. AB, CP and NT drafted the manuscript. AF and JL worked on the implementation of the ESS supporting HCAI. AC, AL, NAi and BD worked on the implementation of the CDSS supporting AMS. AC, AF, AL, BD, CP, JL, NA, NAi and NT are members of the scientific committee in charge of the study supervision. AB is responsible for dataset preparation and analysis. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding BioMérieux® – partner of Lumed® – funds the installation and the maintenance of APSS and ZINC software during the evaluation time (grant number is not applicable).

Disclaimer The funder has no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, decision to publish or writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Nancy University Hospital.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http:// creativecommons. org/ licenses/ by- nc/ 4. 0/.

REFERENCES

- O'Neill J. Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations. 2016. Available: https://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/160518_Final%20paper_with%20cover.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), European Medecines Agency (EMA). The bacterial challenge: time to react. 2009. Available: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/media/en/publications/Publications/0909_TE R_The_Bacterial_Challenge_Time_to_React.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 3. Cassini A, Högberg LD, Plachouras D, Quattrocchi A, Hoxha A, Simonsen GS, et al. Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU and the European Economic Area in 2015: a population-level modelling analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2019;19:56–66. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30605-4.
- 4. European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in Europe, annual report 2018. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019. Available: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/surveillance-antimicrobial-resistance-Europe-2018.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 5. European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), Santé Publique France. EARS-Net France, 2002-2017. Contribution de la France au réseau européen de surveillance de la résistance bactérienne aux antibiotiques. 2017. Available: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/media/files/01-maladies-et-traumatismes/infections-associees-aux-soins-et-resistance-aux-antibiotiques/resistance-aux-antibiotiques/synthese_ears_net_france_2002-2017 [Accessed April 2021].
- 6. Bell BG, Schellevis F, Stobberingh E, Goossens H, Pringle M. A systematic review and metaanalysis of the effects of antibiotic consumption on antibiotic resistance. *BMC infectious diseases* 2014;14:13. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-14-13.
- 7. European Center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Antimicrobial consumption in EU/EEA, annual epidemiological report for 2018. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019. Available: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Antimicrobial-consumption-EU-EEA.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- World Health Organization (WHO). Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance [Internet].
 World Health Organization; 2015. Available:
 http://www.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/193736/1/9789241509763_eng.pdf?ua=1
 [Accessed April 2021].

- 9. Dyar OJ, Huttner B, Schouten J, Pulcini C, ESGAP (ESCMID Study Group for Antimicrobial stewardship). What is antimicrobial stewardship? *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2017;23:793–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2017.08.026.
- 10. Thursky K. Use of computerized decision support systems to improve antibiotic prescribing. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2006;4:491–507. doi: 10.1586/14787210.4.3.491.
- 11. de Bruin JS, Seeling W, Schuh C. Data use and effectiveness in electronic surveillance of healthcare associated infections in the 21st century: a systematic review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2014;21:942–51. doi: 10.1136/amiajnl-2013-002089.
- 12. Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM, MacDougall C, Schuetz AN, Septimus EJ, et al. Implementing an Antibiotic Stewardship Program: Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;62:e51-77. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciw118.
- 13. Nault V, Pepin J, Beaudoin M, Perron J, Moutquin J-M, Valiquette L. Sustained impact of a computer-assisted antimicrobial stewardship intervention on antimicrobial use and length of stay. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017;72:933–40. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkw468.
- 14. Mahamat A, MacKenzie FM, Brooker K, Monnet DL, Daures JP, Gould IM. Impact of infection control interventions and antibiotic use on hospital MRSA: a multivariate interrupted timeseries analysis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007;30:169–76. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2007.04.005.
- 15. Freeman R, Moore LSP, García Álvarez L, Charlett A, Holmes A. Advances in electronic surveillance for healthcare-associated infections in the 21st Century: a systematic review. *J Hosp Infect* 2013;84:106–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2012.11.031.
- 16. Russo PL, Shaban RZ, Macbeth D, Carter A, Mitchell BG. Impact of electronic healthcare-associated infection surveillance software on infection prevention resources: a systematic review of the literature. *J Hosp Infect* 2018;99:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2017.09.002.
- 17. Carracedo-Martinez E, Gonzalez-Gonzalez C, Teixeira-Rodrigues A, Prego-Dominguez J, Takkouche B, Herdeiro MT, et al. Computerized Clinical Decision Support Systems and Antibiotic Prescribing: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Ther* 2019;41:552–81. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2019.01.018.
- 18. Schweitzer VA, van Werkhoven CH, Rodríguez Baño J, Bielicki J, Harbarth S, Hulscher M, et al. Optimizing design of research to evaluate antibiotic stewardship interventions: consensus recommendations of a multinational working group. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020;26:41–50. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2019.08.017.
- 19. de Kraker MEA, Abbas M, Huttner B, Harbarth S. Good epidemiological practice: a narrative review of appropriate scientific methods to evaluate the impact of antimicrobial stewardship interventions. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2017;23:819–25. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2017.05.019.
- 20. Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Nancy. Chiffres clés 2019. 2020. Available: http://www.chu-nancy.fr/images/CHRU/Chiffres-cles-2019-web.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Core Elements of Hospital Antibiotic Stewardship Programs, 2019. 2019. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/healthcare/pdfs/hospital-core-elements-H.pdf [Accessed April 2021].

- 22. World Health Organization (WHO). Antimicrobial stewardship programmes in health-care facilities in low- and middle-income countries: a WHO practical toolkit. Geneva: WHO; 2019. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/329404 [Accessed April 2021].
- 23. World Health Organization (WHO). Infection prevention and control assessment framework at the facility level. Geneva: WHO; 2018. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/330072 [Accessed April 2021].
- 24. World Health Organization (WHO). Guidelines on core components of infection prevention and control programmes at the national and acute health care facility level. Geneva: WHO; 2016. Available: https://www.who.int/infection-prevention/publications/ipc-components-guidelines/en/ [Accessed April 2021].
- 25. Santé Publique France. Enquête nationale de prévalence des infections nosocomiales et des traitements anti-infectieux en établissements de santé, mai-juin 2017. 2019. Available: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/content/download/189000/2328245 [Accessed April 2021].
- Santé Publique France. Surveillance de la consommation des antibiotiques Réseau ATB-Raisin, France. Résultats 2017. 2019. Available: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/content/download/209355/2415496 [Accessed April 2021].
- 27. Bevilacqua S, Demoré B, Boschetti E, Doco-Lecompte T, May I, May T, et al. 15 years of antibiotic stewardship policy in the Nancy Teaching Hospital. *Med Mal Infect* 2011;41:532–9. doi: 10.1016/j.medmal.2011.08.001.
- 28. Demoré B, Humbert P, Boschetti E, Bevilacqua S, Clerc-Urmès I, May T, et al. Evaluation of effects of an operational multidisciplinary team on antibiotic use in the medium to long term at a French university hospital. *Int J Clin Pharm* 2017;39:1061–9. doi: 10.1007/s11096-017-0516-5.
- 29. Bevilacqua S, Demoré B, Erpelding M-L, Boschetti E, May T, May I, et al. Effects of an operational multidisciplinary team on hospital antibiotic use and cost in France: a cluster controlled trial. *Int J Clin Pharm* 2011;33:521–8. doi: 10.1007/s11096-011-9499-9.
- Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des produits de santé. Liste des antibiotiques critiques. Actualisation 2015. 2016. Available: https://www.omedit-grandest.ars.sante.fr/system/files/2017-08/ATBC-antibiotiques-critiques-actualisation2015.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 31. AntibioEst. AntibioEst Conseil, information, bon usage des antibiotiques. Available: https://www.antibioest.org/ [Accessed April 2021].
- 32. Beaudoin M, Kabanza F, Nault V, Valiquette L. An Antimicrobial Prescription Surveillance System that Learns from Experience. *AI Magazine* 2014;35:15. doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v35i1.2500.
- 33. LUMED. ZINC Monitoring System: Prevent & Control Healthcare Related Infections. Available: https://lumed.ca/en/zinc/ [Accessed April 2021].
- 34. Haut Conseil de Santé Publique (HCSP). Actualisation des recommandations relatives à la maîtrise de la diffusion des bactéries hautement résistantes aux antibiotiques émergentes

BMJ Open

(BHRe). 2019. Available:

https://www.hcsp.fr/Explore.cgi/Telecharger?NomFichier=hcspr20191211_actualidesrecomm arelativauxbhre.pdf [Accessed April 2021].

- 35. Société Français d'Hygiène Hospitalière (SF2H). Recommandations nationales Prévention de la transmission croisée : précautions complémentaires contact. Consensus formalisé d'experts. HygièneS; 2009. Available: https://www.sf2h.net/wp-content/uploads/2009/01/SF2H_prevention-transmission-croisee-2009.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 36. Société Français d'Hygiène Hospitalière (SF2H). Recommandations nationales Prévention de la transmission croisée par voie respiratoire : Air ou Gouttelettes. Recommandations pour la pratique clinique (RPC). HygièneS; 2013. Available: https://www.sf2h.net/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/SF2H_recommandations_air-ou-gouttelettes_2013.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 37. Zhang F, Wagner AK, Ross-Degnan D. Simulation-based power calculation for designing interrupted time series analyses of health policy interventions. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011 Nov;64(11):1252–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.02.007.
- 38. Ramsay CR, Matowe L, Grilli R, Grimshaw JM, Thomas RE. Interrupted time series designs in health technology assessment: lessons from two systematic reviews of behavior change strategies. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 2003;19:613–23. doi: 10.1017/s0266462303000576.
- 39. Wagner AK, Soumerai SB, Zhang F, Ross-Degnan D. Segmented regression analysis of interrupted time series studies in medication use research. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2002;27:299–309. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2710.2002.00430.x.
- 40. Chabaud A, Jouzeau A, Dugravot L, Péfau M, Couvé-Deacon E, Martin C, et al. Antibiotic use and antibiotic resistance in French healthcare facilities in 2020: data from the national SPARES network. Bull Epidémiol Hebd 2021; 18-19: 342–50. http://beh.santepubliquefrance.fr/beh/2021/18-19/2021 18-19 3.html [Accessed December 2021].
- 41. Corbin JM, Strauss A. Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria. *Qual Sociol* 1990;13:3–21. doi.org/10.1007/BF00988593.
- 42. Penfold RB, Zhang F. Use of interrupted time series analysis in evaluating health care quality improvements. *Acad Pediatr* 2013;13:S38-44. doi: 10.1016/j.acap.2013.08.002.
- 43. Kontopantelis E, Doran T, Springate DA, Buchan I, Reeves D. Regression based quasi-experimental approach when randomisation is not an option: interrupted time series analysis. *BMJ* 2015;350:h2750. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h2750.
- 44. Davey P, Marwick CA, Scott CL, Charani E, McNeil K, Brown E, et al. Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017;2:CD003543. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003543.pub4.
- 45. Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC). What study designs can be considered for inclusion in an EPOC review and what should they be called? Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care; 2017. Available: https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/Resources-for-

- authors2017/what_study_designs_should_be_included_in_an_epoc_review.pdf [Accessed April 2021].
- 46. Stone SP, Cooper BS, Kibbler CC, Cookson BD, Roberts JA, Medley GF, et al. The ORION statement: guidelines for transparent reporting of outbreak reports and intervention studies of nosocomial infection. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2007;7:282–8. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70082-8.
- 47. Lopez Bernal J, Cummins S, Gasparrini A. Interrupted time series regression for the evaluation of public health interventions: a tutorial. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017;46:348–55. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw098.
- 48. Lopez Bernal J, Cummins S, Gasparrini A. The use of controls in interrupted time series studies of public health interventions. *Int J Epidemiol* 2018;47:2082–93.
- 49. Abeysinghe T, Balasooriya U, Tsui A. Small-Sample Forecasting Regression or Arima Models? J *Quant Econ* 2003;1:103–13. doi: 10.1007/BF03404652.
- 50. Durbin J, Watson GS. Testing for serial correlation in least squares regression. I. *Biometrika* 1950;37:409–28.
- 51. Durbin J, Watson GS. Testing for serial correlation in least squares regression. II. *Biometrika* 1951;38:159–78.
- 52. Lopez Bernal J, Soumerai S, Gasparrini A. A methodological framework for model selection in interrupted time series studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2018;103:82–91. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.05.026.

BMJ Open

Table 1: Description of secondary outcomes

Outcome	Outcome measures	Measured by	Population	Data source
Safety	All-cause intra-hospital mortality rate	All causes of death/1 000 inpatients/month	All adult inpatients	Medical records department
	Average length of stay	Total length of stay for each inpatient/number of stays/month	All adult inpatients	Medical records department
	Incidence of nosocomial epidemies and of the most frequent HCAI*	New nosocomial epidemies and new cases of HCAI/number of inpatients/month	All adult inpatients	IPC team
Impact	Use of overall antibiotics and by therapeutic classes	DDDs/1 000 patients/month	All adult inpatients	Hospital pharmacy
	Proportion of the antibiotic prescriptions compliant with guidelines	Number of antibiotic prescriptions compliant with guidelines/number of antibiotic prescriptions evaluated	Sample of adult inpatients receiving antibiotics	Medical files sampled by the medical records department Medical files reviewed by the
		by AMS team	5	members of the AMS team
	Proportion of the additional precaution prescriptions compliant with hospital recommendations	Number of additional precaution prescriptions compliant with hospital recommendations/number of additional precaution prescriptions evaluated by IPC team	Sample of adult inpatients required additional precautions	Medical files sampled by the medical records department
				Medical files examined by the members of the IPC team
	Proportion of <i>C. difficile</i>	Number of stays with <i>C. difficile</i> infections/number of stays/month	All adult inpatients	ZINC (microbiological data) Hospital pharmacy
	Proportion of MDRB	Number of stays with MDRB identification by bacterial sample done after 48h of hospitalization/number of stays with identification of bacterial isolates/month	All adult inpatients with identification of bacterial isolates	ZINC (microbiological data) Hospital pharmacy
Hospital costs	Costs of antibiotics	Costs (in €) of all oral and intravenous antibiotics delivered by hospital pharmacy/month	All adult inpatients treated by antibiotics	Hospital pharmacy
	Average cost of hospital stays	Costs (in €) of hospital stays for each inpatient/number of stays/month	All adult inpatients treated by antibiotics	Financial department
	Costs of the implementation of the CDSS/ESS and of the purchased equipment	Costs (in €) of installation and maintenance of the CDSS/ESS after 12 months of implementation	/	Information technology service
Users' satisfaction and acceptability	Satisfaction	Qualitative study after 6 months of use	All members of AMS and IPC teams	Individual semi-structured interviews
	Proportion of APSS' alerts accepted by the AMS team	Number of APSS' alerts accepted by the AMS team/number of alerts generated by APSS/month	All alerts generated by APSS	APSS
	Proportion of AMS team's recommendations accepted by prescribers	Number of AMS team's recommendations accepted by prescribers/number of recommendations given by the AMS team to prescribers/month	All recommendations made by the AMS team	APSS

Note: * the most frequent healthcare associated infections—(HCAI)—accoinfections—characteristic most than 48 throughter theirs admission to the hospital (and not present or incubated at admission) and caused by E. coli, S. aureus, E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa or K. pneumoniae.